

Container technologies for HPC

Thierry.Porcher@doit-now.tech





Container Technologies for HPC Forum TERATEC 23

Thierry PORCHER Do IT Now – Technical Director thierry.porcher@doit-now.tech Alberto GARCIA Do IT Now – HPC Architect alberto.garcia@doit-now.tech



Numbers at a Glance

- Active in all of Europe covering WW needs
- 200+ European customers in industry and academy
- 30+ Years of expertise
- 100+ HPC & Al services and solutions professionals
- Managed Services capabilities
 - 12k users/year
 - 150 clusters/year
 - 200+ training sessions/year
- Installations capabilities
 - 5 top500 clusters

Headquarters

- Montpellier (France)
- Barcelona (Spain)
- Turin (Italy)
- Munich (Germany)
- Auckland (New Zealand)
- Switzerland Opening Soon!





What are containers?

- For the traditional HPC user:
 - A way to submit batch jobs using libraries and applications packed in my own image
 - Managing dependencies (applications, version of libraries, OS, ...)

• For the Al/new gen user:

- A way to deploy my own Jupyter/VSCode interactive environment and access GPU resources
- Up to large scale computations/trainings

Use Case Analysis

• Image administration.

- How are images stored?
- What is the format of the images?
- Are images shared?
- Is additional infrastructure required?
- Image creation (*user* and *admin*).
 - From live filesystem.
 - From a public HUB.
 - From recipe files.

- Image execution on the HPC cluster.
 - Workload manager integration.
 - Parallel file system integration.
 - Accelerator integration.
 - High-performance network integration.
- Extra use cases:
 - [Compatibility with K8s?]
 - Integration with CI/CD tools?
 - Integration with third-party tools (e.g., Artifactory, XRAY, ...)?



Security Analysis

- Security architectures in container technologies.
 - Root-owned daemon.
 - setuid binaries.
 - Full-unprivileged mode



- Security analysis.
 - CVE overview during last years.
 - Releases and updates periodicity during last years.



Other aspects to consider when choosing a technology

Interoperability analysis

- Compliance with OCI image specification.
 - I.e., can the technology use other image formats without conversion?
- Compliance with OCI runtime specification.
 - I.e., can the technology be used by other technologies on top (e.g. K8s).
- Compliance with OCI registry specification.
 - I.e., can the technology download/use containers from public hubs?

License and support

- Type of license.
 - Free or commercial.
- Support models.
 - Type, SLA, and availability.
- Community activity.
 - Non-official support.



Container Tools













Docker Model

• Architecture

- Root-owned daemon.
 - Spawns containers.
 - Build images.
 - Pulls/pushes imgs from/to registry.
- Only root or the docker group can interact with the daemon.
 - Anyone inside docker group can easily escalate to root.
- User owning the container must be specified.
 - Otherwise, it will be root.



- Security model
 - As root, Docker can leverage the 6 privileged namespaces.
 - Create virtual networks.
 - PID abstraction.
 - Resource limitation (cgroups).
 - Create and mount images.



Singularity Model

Architecture

- No daemon.
- Single process execution.
 - 1. Singularity process launched.
 - 2. Namespaces setup and checks.
 - 3. Replace code by the user application (execvp).
- Same users inside and outside the container. No user mapping.

Security model

- Some **setuid** binaries in order to.
 - mount the Singularity container image.
 - create the necessary namespaces in the kernel.
 - bind host paths into the container.
- Full rootless possible with user namespaces.
 - no-setuid version of the binaries.
 - Reduced features.
 - No images.
 - No bind mounts.



Singularity vs. Apptainer (S)



- Singularity code forked in 2020 and now two products coexist.
 - Singularity from Syslabs. Ο
 - https://sylabs.io/docs/
 - SingularityCE but also SingularityPRO and Singularity Enterprise if advanced support is needed.
 - Apptainer which joined the Linux Foundation Ο
 - https://apptainer.org/
 - Official support by CIQ
- Singularity and Apptainer differ fundamentally on the security architecture.
 - Singularity opts for a setuid model and avoids the use of user namespaces. Ο
 - Apptainer opts for full unprivileged model, relying heavily on user namespaces and the newest kernel features. Ο

Sarus Model (CSCS)



ldea

• Container runtime and tools heavily focused on HPC.

- Batch scheduler, MPI, and GPGPUs integration.
- Minimal overhead on container spawn.

Key element: OCI hooks.

- Custom plugins that allow to interface subsystems natively within the container.
 - MPI
 - Parallel file systems.
 - GPGPUS

Architecture

- Extend image with config.json file in order to add metadata.
- Delegate image life-cycle (creation, storage) to docker.
 O Docker registry deployed in an auxiliary platform near to the supercomputer.



Security model

- Setuid binaries.
- No root daemon on target platform.
- No user namespaces.



Podman Model



Idea

• Provide a replacement of Docker avoiding the root-owned daemon.

Architecture

- No daemon, no setuid executables.
- fork/exec model: podman spawns the container.
- Images stored in \$HOME

Security model

- Total rootless for basic operations.
 - O Spawn a container.
- Execute with sudo when it's necessary.
 - Build an image.
- Tricks for avoiding privileged operations.
 - FUSE for certain types of mounts (OverlayFS).
 - <u>slirp4netns</u> program to set user mode networking.

Other

• In theory, interoperable with K8s.



Choices

- Purpose: general purpose vs. HPC-oriented.
- Maturity: well-established vs. emerging
- OCI vs. proprietary formats.
- Security: risk vs. usability.





Chosen Technologies





Sarus

- OCI compliant. HPC focused.
- Best OCI solution for the short-term.

Apptainer

- Full unprivileged. HPC focused.
- Best solution for the long term, if it becomes more OCI compliant.

Podman

- Best docker alternative for general purpose and K8s.
- Interesting to show the limitations on HPC environments.

Deployment and Testing

Install the technology on client cluster.

- Tested on both RHE7 and RHE8
- 2-nodes testing environment (node271 and node272).
 - 2x Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2650 v4 @ 2.20GHz
- Favor non-privileged installations with customized paths.

• Launch a containerized application using the technology.

- HYDRO application: <u>cneshpc / benchs_parallel · GitLab</u>
- Benchmark for testing compiler and MPI libraries installation.
- Containerized with MPICH 3.4.3 and OpenMPI 3.1.4.
- Measure and compare performances.
 - Use singularity as baseline.





Study MPI – All Technologies

- Tests completed.
 - \circ $\,$ Pull and run times.
- Space for improvement.
 - Scratch space local to the nodes.
 - Sarus native MPI hook.
 - Podman optimization.





Conclusions

- No single technology covers all the use cases.
- Relevance of the latest kernel features.
- Landscape continuously evolving.
- Focus on client needs. Tailored solutions.
- Next step: interactive and full-stacked container solutions for end users.



Some references in Earth Science and Meteorogical projects



References 1/2

- KAUST
 - Installation of a large BeeGFS filesystem used extensively by the Earth Science Department
 - 3.8 PB, 82 GB/s, 10 data servers, 12 meta data servers
- Bureau of Meteorology (Australian Gvt)
 - Dashboards to monitor and visualize HPC clusters efficiency





References 2/2

- Bengladesh meteorological national center
 - Cluster installation in a high availability configuration
 - BeeGFS solution for storage
- Meteorological Service of Catalonia
 - Cluster installation, tunning HPC environment and applications, support.